

BENJAMIN C. MIZER
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General
MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney
ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO
Deputy Branch Director
RODNEY PATTON
Trial Attorney
JULIA BERMAN
Trial Attorney
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
Tel: (202) 616-8480
Fax: (202) 616-8470
Email: julia.berman@usdoj.gov

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, OFFICE
OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL
INTELLIGENCE,

Defendants.

Case No.: 14-cv-03010-RS

**STIPULATED REQUEST FOR
CONTINUANCE OF SUMMARY
JUDGMENT BRIEFING TO PERMIT
REPROCESSING OF DOCUMENT
AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

Hon. Richard Seeborg

1 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-2, Defendants hereby request a continuance of the upcoming
2 summary judgment briefing for Defendants to complete reprocessing of the single document
3 responsive to Plaintiff's May 6, 2014 Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") requests that remains
4 in contention in this matter. In support of this motion, the Government states as follows:

5 1. Throughout this litigation, the parties have worked to narrow the scope of the issues
6 in this action. After the parties agreed to a narrowing of Plaintiff's FOIA requests, Defendants
7 produced 16 responsive documents, all of which contained redactions pursuant to claimed FOIA
8 exemptions. Defendants also withheld in full 107 documents responsive to Plaintiff's requests.

9 2. The parties conferred after the completion of the Defendants' production, and
10 agreed that the only issue remaining in contention in this matter is one record withheld in full
11 pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(5). *See* Joint Case Management Statement,
12 ECF No. 24, at 5. The parties then submitted a proposed schedule for summary judgment briefing
13 regarding that issue. *See id.*

14 3. The Court adopted the parties' proposed summary judgment briefing schedule in an
15 Order dated May 19, 2015. *See* Order Adopting Proposed Briefing Schedule and Vacating Case
16 Management Conference, ECF No. 25.

17 4. Under the current schedule:

- 18 a. On or before August 12, 2015, defendants will file their motion for summary judgment.
19 b. On or before September 11, 2015, plaintiff will file its combined cross-motion for
20 summary judgment and opposition to defendants' motion.
21 c. On or before October 16, 2015, defendants will file their combined opposition to
22 plaintiff's motion and reply in support of their motion.
23 d. On or before October 30, 2015, plaintiff will file its reply in support of its motion.

24 *Id.* at 1.

25 5. Defendants have now determined that the single document that remains in
26 contention in this case may be reprocessed and released in part. Defendants have begun that
27 process and anticipate that they will be able to produce a redacted version of the document to
28 Plaintiff by September 3.

6. Plaintiff has agreed to review the reprocessed document, in conjunction with a *Vaughn* index for that document, to determine whether it is satisfied with the disclosure or whether it will challenge the redactions taken in the document. Plaintiff has agreed to inform the Defendants of how it wishes to proceed by September 17, 2015.

7. At that time, the parties propose to file an update with the Court, informing the Court of whether any substantive matters remain in contention, and, if so, proposing a new schedule for summary judgment briefing that would begin in October 2015.

8. The requested continuance would suspend the deadlines currently on the calendar in this matter. The parties respectfully request this relief to enable them to confer regarding the reprocessed document, and to avoid potentially unnecessary briefing regarding the merits of this matter.

9. There have been no prior extensions or continuances as to the summary judgment briefing schedule described in paragraph 4.

For all of these reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court continue the current summary judgment briefing schedule and order that the parties submit a status report, including, if any substantive matters remain in contention, a new proposed summary judgment briefing schedule by September 25, 2015.

DATED: August 11, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

BENJAMIN C. MIZER
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO
Deputy Branch Director

/s/ Julia A. Berman
RODNEY PATTON
JULIA A. BERMAN, Bar No. 241415

United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch

20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 616-8480
Facsimile: (202) 616-8470

Attorneys for Defendants

/s/ Andrew Crocker

Andrew Crocker
Mark Rumold
Nathan Cardozo
Jennifer Lynch
ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
815 Eddy St.
San Francisco, CA 94109

*Attorneys for Plaintiff
Electronic Frontier Foundation*

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)

I, Julia Berman, hereby attest that I obtained the concurrence of Andrew Crocker, counsel for the Plaintiff, Electronic Frontier Foundation, in the filing of this document.


/s/ Julia A. Berman

JULIA A. BERMAN
Trial Attorney
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, it is hereby ORDERED that the current summary judgment briefing schedule is continued, and it is further ORDERED that the parties shall submit a status report, including, if any substantive matters remain in contention, a new proposed summary judgment briefing schedule by September 25, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/11/15


HON. RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE